Versatile Immunomagnetic Nanocarrier Platform for Capturing Cancer Cells
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ABSTRACT

Sensitive and quantitative assessment of changes in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) can help in cancer prognosis and in the evaluation of therapeutics efficacy. However, extremely low occurrence of CTCs in the peripheral blood (approximately one CTC per billion blood cells) and potential changes in molecular biomarkers during the process of epithelial to mesenchymal transition create technical hurdles to the enrichment and enumeration of CTCs. Recently, efforts have been directed toward development of antibody-capture assays based on the expression of the common biomarker—the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) of epithelium-derived cancer cells. Despite some promising results, the assays relying on EpCAM capture have shown inconsistent sensitivity in clinical settings and often fail to detect CTCs in patients with metastatic cancer. We have addressed this problem by the development of an assay based on hybrid magnetic/plasmonic nanocarriers and a microfluidic channel. In this assay, cancer cells are specifically targeted by antibody-conjugated magnetic nanocarriers and are separated from normal blood cells by a magnetic force in a microfluidic chamber. Subsequently, immuno-fluorescence staining is used to differentiate CTCs from normal blood cells. We demonstrated in cell models of colon, breast, and skin cancers that this platform can be easily adapted to a variety of biomarkers, targeting both surface receptor molecules and intracellular biomarkers of epithelial-derived cancer cells. Experiments in whole blood showed capture efficiency greater than 90% when two cancer biomarkers are used for cell capture. Thus, the combination of immunotargeted magnetic nanocarriers with microfluidics provides an important platform that can improve the effectiveness of current CTC assays by overcoming the problem of heterogeneity of tumor cells in the circulation.
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Detection of disseminated tumor cells or tumor biomarkers in human fluids such as blood, urine, and saliva can provide an opportunity to develop an accessible tool for cancer detection and monitoring.1–3 In particular, accurate quantitation of cancer cells in the bloodstream can help to determine prognosis and monitor the effectiveness of cancer therapy.4–6 However, the challenge of detecting circulating tumor cells (CTCs) is their rare occurrence, estimated as one to few CTCs among millions of leukocytes and billions of erythrocytes.

Several antibody-based capture assays have been introduced to detect and to count CTCs7–9 and they all rely on one common biomarker—epithelial cell surface marker (EpCAM)—expression on disseminated tumor cells. The single approved system, CellSearch (Veridex LLC), utilizes ferrofluids conjugated with anti-EpCAM antibodies to immunomagnetically enrich CTCs that express EpCAM.5 Microfluidic chambers with anti-EpCAM antibody-coated microposts have also been applied to capture CTCs from whole blood.9 However, due to tumor heterogeneity and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), subpopulations of metastatic tumor cells often do not express this specific epithelial surface antigen or express it at very low levels,10,11 thereby limiting the value of EpCAM-based assays for CTC detection. Thus, EpCAM-dependent assays have limited capability to detect CTCs from “normal-like” subtype of breast cancers which lack such expression.11,12 Furthermore, in a recent prospective multicenter...
clinical study, CTCs were detected in only 61% of metastatic breast cancer patients. Consequently, new approaches are required for an effective, highly sensitive, and specific detection of CTCs in whole blood. Our hypothesis is that a versatile platform that can target multiple clinically relevant cancer biomarkers may significantly improve CTC capture and, thus, provide a more accurate determination of the CTCs’ prevalence in cancer patients.

A key component of our approach to a versatile CTC assay is built around recent progress in development of core–shell nanostructures which have been used in a wide range of applications such as drug delivery, imaging, and cellular trafficking. Notably, bimetallic nanoparticles containing a magnetic core and a plasmonic gold shell enable novel imaging approaches and photothermal therapy. Furthermore, the gold shell facilitates conjugation of biological molecules to the nanoparticle surface for molecular targeting. Previously, we introduced a directional antibody conjugation method through the Fc portion that leaves the antigen binding sites on the Fab moiety available for targeting; this approach improves molecular specificity of the conjugates. In addition, nanoparticles with relatively small diameters (less than 10 nm) provide a number of unique advantages in molecular targeting such as reducing nonspecific interactions, minimizing possible steric hindrance, and increasing permeability in a biological environment such as cells and tissues.

Here, we describe a CTA assay that is based on advances in the synthesis of immunotargeted magnetic nanocarriers of the conjugates. The core/shell nanoparticles are based on gold shell/iron oxide core nanoparticles conjugated with monoclonal antibodies that are specific to common biomarkers of CTCs. The very thin gold shell of ca. 1 nm provides a convenient surface for antibody conjugation, and the magnetic core is used for efficient magnetic force separation of the labeled cancer cells from normal cells in whole blood. We demonstrated versatility of the proposed platform for detection and enumeration of rare cells in capturing experiments of phenotypically different cancer cells including breast, colon, and skin cancers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gold Shell/Iron Oxide Core Nanoparticles. Parameters of an optimal immunomagnetic nanocarrier to detect CTCs in blood include monodispersity, high stability in aqueous phase, and ease of conjugation with targeting antibodies. In this study, highly uniform core/shell \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Au} \) nanoparticles were synthesized via thermal decomposition of iron(III) acetylacetonate in a mixture of oleylamine and oleic acid followed by reduction of gold acetate in the presence of the iron oxide seeds. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of both \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) and core/shell \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Au} \) nanoparticles dispersed in

Figure 1. Conceptual cartoon of the versatile immunomagnetic nanocarrier platform in microfluidics for capturing circulating tumor cells in whole blood.
Our conjugation strategy relies on directional covalent attachment of antibodies to gold nanoparticles through the Fc moiety with the antigen binding sites on the Fab portion directed outward from the gold surface and, therefore, available for targeting.20,21 The conjugation is carried out using a heterobifunctional polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker terminated at one end by a hydrazide moiety and by a dithiol group at the other end. First, the carbohydrate moiety on the antibody’s Fc region is oxidized to an aldehyde group using sodium periodate, thereby allowing for preferential oxidation of orthodiols through a dehydration reaction. Although tyrosine and serine amino acids contain hydroxyl groups, they are not on nearest neighbor carbons and therefore cannot be oxidized by sodium periodate.27,28 Then, the oxidized antibodies interact with hydrazide-PEG-thiol linkers in a reaction where the hydrazide portion of the linker reacts with the aldehyde formed during the oxidation reaction of antibody carbohydrate to form a stable linkage (Figure 3). The linker-modified antibodies interact with gold surface of core/shell nanoparticles through the linker’s thiol groups. Subsequently, mPEG-thiol molecules are added to passivate any remaining bare gold surfaces, thereby increasing the biocompatibility and reducing potential nonspecific interactions (Figure 3). Attachment of antibodies through the Fc region can be expected to diminish nonspecific interactions between nanoparticle conjugates and Fc receptors of blood cells such as macrophages.

Figure 2. Characterization of magnetic core/shell nanocarriers. TEM images of Fe3O4 nanoparticles in hexane before (a) and after (b) coating with a gold shell; gold shell/magnetic core nanoparticles after transfer into the aqueous phase (c). Gold shell/Fe3O4 core nanoparticle size distribution (6.2 ± 0.8 nm) as determined from TEM image analysis of more than 200 particles (d). UV−vis spectrum of oleic acid and oleylamine-stabilized Fe3O4 nanoparticles (dashed) and gold shell/magnetic core particles in hexane (solid) (e). Magnetization hysteresis at 300 K of gold shell/magnetic core nanoparticles (f); (inset) separation of nanoparticles from a colloidal suspension using a magnetic field gradient created by a simple permanent magnet.

Molecular Specificity of Immunomagnetic Nanocarriers. The molecular specificity was demonstrated in three cell lines with known phenotypes: (1) COLO 205, a model of colorectal cancer which expresses a high level of epithelial cell adhesion molecules (EpCAM), is positive for cytokeratin (CK) expression and is negative for both epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) and epidermal growth factor receptor-1 (EGFR); (2) SK-BR-3, a breast cancer model which is EpCAM+/HER2+/EGFR−/CK−; and (3) A-431, a model of skin cancer with the following expression profile EpCAM+/HER2−/EGFR+/CK+.11,29 Each cancer cell line was labeled with immunomagnetic nanocarriers targeted to either EpCAM, HER2, EGFR, or CK. The specificity of labeling was characterized by comparing the binding of the immunotargeted nanoparticles to cells with varying biomarker expression using dark-field microscopy (Figure 4). In dark-field images, a yellow-orange color indicates specific binding of the nanoparticles to cancer cells whereas a grayish color corresponds to the endogenous scattering of unlabeled cells.30–34 As can be seen in Figure 4, the labeling pattern of cancer cells correlates very well with their known expression profiles, demonstrating molecular specificity of the immunomagnetic nanocarriers. Indeed, all cells showed good labeling with anti-EpCAM nanoparticles while only HER2+ SK-BR-3 cells and EGFR+ A-431 cells were labeled with anti-HER2 and anti-EGFR nanoparticles, respectively. Unbound nanocarriers can be seen in some of the images; this is due to residual nanoparticles after a washing step. In addition to labeling of cytoplasmic membrane...
proteins—HER2, EGFR, and EpCAM—we also demonstrated successful targeting of cytokeratin that is an intracellular biomarker of epithelial cells (Figure 4). The intracellular labeling is facilitated by the small size of the immunomagnetic nanoparticles. In addition, the cells were permeabilized using a procedure that is common in immunostaining of intracellular proteins. The ultrasmall immunotargeted nanoparticles permitted passage through the permeabilized cell membrane and specific interactions with intracellular molecules. The ability to target a variety of intracellular molecular biomarkers opens new opportunities for the capture of CTCs since prevalent and universal biomarkers inside the cells can reduce the variability that results from the heterogeneous levels of surface antigen expression.11,12

Capture Efficiency. The efficiency of the immunomagnetic nanocarriers for the capture of cancer cells was assessed with a microfluidic magnetic chip that we previously developed (Figure 5a).8 In an example, shown in Figure 5, 2.5 mL of whole blood from a normal volunteer was spiked with ∼100–200 COLO 205 cells, and anti-EpCAM magnetic nanoparticles were added to label the cells. Then, the sample was passed through the microfluidic chamber at a continuous rate of 2.5 mL/h using a syringe pump. No additional purification or isolation steps were carried out prior to introduction of the blood sample to the chamber. The captured cancer cells were identified using fluorescent staining, which allows distinguishing cancer cells with the epithelial tissue phenotype from the much larger population of nucleated white blood cells. The staining scheme that has been widely used in CTC capture and enumeration experiments includes anti-CK, anti-CD45, and DAPI stains, which are specific for epithelial cells, white blood cells, and all nucleated cells, respectively.4 Figure 5c shows an example of staining results for a captured cancer cell and a white blood cell where cancer cells can be easily identified by the positive CK and negative CD45 staining, while white blood cells are CK negative and CD45 positive. Most of the captured cancer cells were found where the first maximal magnetic field gradient exists (Figure 5b).35 For this design, these capture sites are located around 10 mm away from the inlet of the microfluidic chamber. Cancer cells with less nanoparticle loading traveled a longer path in the microchamber and were captured at regions farther away from the inlet. In this assay, coordinates of captured cancer cells can be recorded to facilitate subsequent specific molecular characterization analyses such as fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or hyperspectral microscopic imaging (HMI) which can identify and select a broad spectrum of molecular moieties for better delineation of the true status of the captured cells. No false positive cells were observed in experiments with normal blood without spiked cancer cells.

The combination of the microfluidic magnetic chip and the immunomagnetic nanocarriers provides flexibility in capturing rare cancer cells using different extracellular and intracellular biomarkers with high
capturing efficiency (Figure 6 and Table 1). To demonstrate this concept, we carried out a series of spiked experiments in the whole blood where A-431 skin cancer cells, SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells, and COLO 205 colon cancer cells were captured using cytoplasmic membrane protein targeted nanocarriers, anti-EGFR, anti-HER2, and anti-EpCAM, respectively, according to an expression profile of each cell type (Figure 6a). In addition, the COLO 205 cells were also captured using nanocarriers targeted to the intracellular biomarker—cytokeratin (CK). Notably, the capture efficiency exhibited by the cytokeratin targeting nanocarrier is the same as the efficiencies of the nanocarriers targeting cell surface proteins. The capture yield was 70–80% in cases where a single nanocarrier was used that is comparable to the FDA-approved CellSearch system and the recently described microchip system with antibody-coated microposts.7,9 However, our approach to CTC assay allows straightforward multiplexing of immunotargeted nanocarriers to various cancer cell antigens, thereby increasing CTC detection.36 For example, using anti-EGFR and anti-EpCAM nanocarriers simultaneously for detection of A-431 cells increases the capture yield from 79% in the case of anti-EGFR nanoparticles alone to 93% for the combination; similarly, combining anti-HER2 and anti-EpCAM nanoparticles improves the capture yield of SK-BR-3 cells from 69% obtained in the case of HER2 targeting alone to 93% for the combination (Figure 6a and Table 1).

We also explored the concept of using multiple nanocarriers to improve capture in cancers which express EpCAM antigen poorly or not at all. First, we manually reproduced CellSearch capture assay in spiked experiments in whole blood using the basal-like subtype of breast cancer cell line, BT-20, which expresses relative low EpCAM; the assay showed the capture efficiency of only ca. 44%. The same recovery rate was obtained using our anti-EpCAM immunomagnetic nanocarriers (Figure 6a). However, combining anti-EpCAM and anti-MUC1 nanocarriers together improved the capture efficiency from 45 to 78%. The nanocarriers in the combination were applied in 1:1 ratio, and the concentration of each nanoparticle was the same as in experiments with a single nanocarrier.

Thus, utilizing multiple nanocarriers opens the route to significantly improve capture of CTCs with various expression profiles including capture of cancer cells that express EpCAM weakly on their surface.5 We also demonstrated that cell capture efficiency exhibits
ET AL.

Figure 6. Cancer cell capture and enumeration. (a) Capture efficiency in spike experiments in 2.5 mL whole blood samples from a normal volunteer where COLO 205 (colon), SK-BR-3 (breast), A-431 (skin), or BT-20 (breast) cells were captured using immunomagnetic nanocarriers targeted to cancer biomarkers which are listed under the corresponding bars; each experiment was repeated at least three times. Note a significant increase in the capture efficiency when a combination of nanocarriers is used for detection of A431, SK-BR-3, and BT-20 cells; this increase is especially pronounced in the case of a low EpCAM expressing BT-20 cells. (b) Number of captured cells as a function of spiked COLO 205 cells in 2.5 mL whole blood samples; the number of spiked cells was varied from 5 to 500 cells.

A linear behavior (the $R^2$ value for the linear regression fit is 0.98777) in spiking experiments with a number of COLO 205 colon cancer cells ranging from 5 to 500 in whole blood (Figure 6b). For the group with only five cells, the capture yield was 86%, whereas an average of ca. 77% capture yield was obtained from the linear graph shown on Figure 6b.

In addition to the multiplexing capabilities demonstrated above, the advantages of our platform include the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of whole blood in CTC detection that eliminates the use of wholeblood. The combination of nanocarriers and a magnetic microfluidic chip allows highly efficient capture, enumeration, and molecular characterization of CTCs. This platform provides flexibility in capturing phenotypically different CTCs by using nanocarriers that are targeted to different molecular tumor biomarkers; this can significantly improve the effectiveness of CTC assays. Furthermore, the use of small targeted nanoparticles allows a straightforward extension to multiplexed approaches where capture of cancer cells is carried out by a mixture of nanoparticles with different target specificities. Our platform allows a flexible approach to improve capture of CTC and to delineate some of the many reasons used to explain poor capture to date. This approach provides the basis for the development of a low-cost simple CTC assay.

**Conclusion**

Thus, we have developed versatile immunomagnetic nanocarriers for labeling of rare cancer cells in the whole blood. The combination of nanocarriers and a magnetic microfluidic chip allows highly efficient capture, enumeration, and molecular characterization of CTCs. This platform provides flexibility in capturing phenotypically different CTCs by using nanocarriers that are targeted to different molecular tumor biomarkers; this can significantly improve the effectiveness of CTC assays. Furthermore, the use of small targeted nanoparticles allows a straightforward extension to multiplexed approaches where capture of cancer cells is carried out by a mixture of nanoparticles with different target specificities. Our platform allows a flexible approach to improve capture of CTC and to delineate some of the many reasons used to explain poor capture to date. This approach provides the basis for the development of a low-cost simple CTC assay.

**Materials and Methods**

Synthesis and Characterization of Gold Shell/Magnetic Core Nanoparticles. The gold-coated iron oxide nanoparticles were prepared according to a previously published protocol with a number of modifications. Briefly, 1 mM iron(III) acetylacetonate was mixed in 10 mL of phenyl ether, followed by addition of 3 mM oleylic acid, 2 mM oleylamine, and 3 mM 1,2-hexadecanediol. The mixture was stirred vigorously, heated to 260 °C, and refluxed for 1 h, yielding a suspension of highly uniform 5.1 nm Fe3O4 nanoparticles. Five milliliters of the Fe3O4 nanoparticles suspension was cooled to room temperature followed by addition of 1.1 mM gold acetate, 0.75 mM oleic acid, 3 mM oleylamine, 3 mM 1,2-hexadecanediol, and 15 mL of phenyl ether under vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was heated to 180 °C and was refluxed for 1 h. Then, a dark purple precipitate was formed after addition of ethanol and centrifugation. The recovered gold-coated iron oxide nanoparticles were dispersed in hexane.

To render gold-coated iron oxide nanoparticles hydrophilic, the transfer of the nanoparticles from organic to aqueous phase was modified as previously described. Nanoparticles in hexane at ca. 0.6 mg nanoparticle/mL and equal volume of 5 mM α-cyclodextrin (α-CD) were mixed and stirred overnight at room

### Table 1. Capture Efficiency in Spike Experiments in Whole Blood Samples from a Normal Volunteer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nanocarriers against antibody</th>
<th>cell line</th>
<th>capture yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGFRI</td>
<td>A-431 (skin)</td>
<td>79 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFRI + EpCAM</td>
<td>A-431</td>
<td>93 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>SK-BR-3 (breast)</td>
<td>69 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2 + EpCAM</td>
<td>SK-BR-3</td>
<td>93 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM</td>
<td>COLO 205 (colon)</td>
<td>75 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKA</td>
<td>COLO 205</td>
<td>79 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM</td>
<td>BT-20 (breast)</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM + MUCY</td>
<td>BT-20</td>
<td>78 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

temperature. Then, the nanoparticles were recovered by centrifugation, and the supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was resuspended in 2% w/v 10 kDa PEG polysaccharide. The modified nanoparticles were resuspended in PBS to a concentration of 1 mg/mL. The modified nanoparticles were mixed with gold-plated glass microbeads (Thermo Electron, Waltham, MA) and 100 μg/mL of anti-cytokeratin (CK) antibodies (Sigma Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) to form a conjugate. The conjugates were then resuspended in 4 mM HEPES for 1 h at room temperature. Then, 10^5 10 kDa PEG-thiol (SensoPath Technologies, Inc., Bozeman, MT) was added to passivate the remaining nanoparticle surface. The functionalized nanoparticles were recovered by centrifugation at 2000 g for 5 min and were resuspended in 2% w/v 10 kDa PEG in PBS.

Labeling Specificity Assays. COLO 205, SK-BR-3, and A-431 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were used as cancer cell models to demonstrate molecular-specific cellular imaging. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Gibco, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, Logan, UT), and harvested at 90% confluence. Cell suspensions containing 3 x 10^6 cells were resuspended in complete media. Then, approximately 6 x 10^12 immunotargeted nanocarriers conjugated with either anti-HER2, anti-EpCAM, or anti-EGFR antibodies were added to a cell suspension for 2 h at room temperature under mild mixing. To target the intracellular cytokeratins, cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min followed by permeabilization with 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) for 15 min before incubating with immunotargeted nanocarriers against anti-CK. After incubation with nanocarriers, cells were washed in phosphate buffered saline and were spun down to remove any unbound nanocarriers followed by imaging using a 20×, 0.5 NA dark-field objective under Leica DM6000 upright microscope.

Microchannel Design. To screen blood samples, we used polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-based microchannel combined with magnetic field gradient generated by arrayed magnets with alternate polarities.6 The microchannel with the height of 500 μm was fabricated through soft lithography using PDMS (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI) prepolymers to cure agents, with subsequent step by bonding the PDMS microchannel with a glass coverslip (24 × 40 × 0.15 mm, Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA).6 Dimensions of the microchannel are shown in Figure 5a. The inlet of the microchannel was connected to a reservoir for sample loading, and the outlet was connected to a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA) to control flow rates.

Screening Procedures and Analysis of Whole Blood Samples. Blood samples from a healthy donor were spiked with a known number of cancer cells to determine sensitivity of the immunomagnetic nanocarrier platform. Whole blood samples were collected with CellSave tubes (Veridex LLC, Raritan, NJ). Three cell lines (COLO 205, SK-BR-3, and A-431) with known phenotypes were harvested, centrifuged, and resuspended in phosphate buffered saline. Ten microliter cell suspension at a concentration of approximately 20 000 cells/mL was added to a conical CellSave tube containing 2.5 mL of whole blood. The same amount of cell suspension was distributed on three glass slides to calculate the mean of cells spiked into the blood sample. Then, a suspension of functionalized nanocarriers (100 μL, 50 nM) conjugated with either anti-EpCAM, anti-HER2, or anti-EGFR antibodies was added to the blood samples spiked with COLO 205, SK-BR-3, and A-431 cells, respectively. In addition, a combination of anti-EGFR and anti-EpCAM nanoparticles was used in capture experiments with A-431 cells, and a combination of anti-HER2 and anti-EpCAM nanoparticles was applied for detection of SK-BR-3 cells. Each nanocarrier in the combination was administered with equal volume (100 μL) and concentration (50 nM). BT-20 (ATCC, Manassas, VA) breast cancer cell line was used as a model of cells with a low EpCAM expression; this cell line was labeled with both anti-EpCAM nanocarriers and a combination of anti-EpCAM and anti-MUC1 nanocarriers.

The labeling with anti-CK nanoparticles targeting the intracellular cytokeratin biomarkers required a cell fixation/permeabilization step before addition of the nanoparticles. The whole blood samples containing cancer cells were incubated with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Then, 1% Triton X-100 was added to the solution for 15 min followed by two washing steps in phosphate buffered saline. The whole blood samples with spiked cancer cells and immunotargeted nanocarriers were incubated for 2 h under gentle shaking. Then, cancer cells were separated from the whole blood in the microfluidic chip described above that was operating at a continuous flow rate of 2.5 mL per hour. After the separation step, the microchannel was flushed with 3–4 mL of phosphate buffered saline to wash blood cells. Subsequently, 1 mL of ice-cold acetone was administered to the microchannel to fix captured cancer cells. The slides were stained using nuclear dye 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Vector Laboratories Inc., Peterborough, UK), anti-cytokeratin pan-FITC (Sigma Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO), and the anti-CD45 antibodies labeled with Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Captured cancer cells were defined as DAPI−/CD45−/CD45+. And white blood cells were classified as DAPI−/CD45+. The capture yield was calculated by dividing the number of cells found in the sample by the mean number of spiked cells.

To determine dependence of capture efficiency on the number of cancer cells in whole blood, we conducted a series of experiments with a number of spiked COLO 205 cells ranging from 5 to 500 in 2.5 mL of whole blood. Anti-EpCAM nanocarriers were used for cell capture, and the experiments were carried out as described above.
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